Renegade Files®

EPISODE RF150

Episode Title: FBI Agents Report Black Triangle UFO | Pentagon Files Released Oct. 2026 - RF151
MP3 File Name: RF151-FBI-UFO-26
© 2026 DV8NOW Publishing LLC
Podcast RSS Feed: https://feeds.blubrry.com/feeds/renegadefiles.xml
RF Website Podcast RSS: https://therenegadefiles.com/feed/podcast/

App Description

FBI agents just reported a black triangle UFO. What do the newly released Pentagon files reveal? Listen now! ~~~ Visit TheRenegadeFiles.com for more investigations, bonus episodes, and cool merch.

Topics Covered

Black Triangle UFO • Pentagon UFO Files • FBI UFO Report • FBI Agents UFO Sighting • October 2026 UFO Files • June 2026 UFO Encounter • Pentagon AARO • All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office • FBI-UAP-D043 • DOW-UAP-D176 • Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena • UAP Investigation • Silent Triangle UFO • Black Triangle Sightings • UFO Eyewitness Testimony • Government UFO Disclosure • Declassified UFO Documents • UFO Flight Characteristics • Unexplained Aerial Phenomena • Belgian UFO Wave • Phoenix Lights • Afghanistan Triangle UFO • Military UFO Encounters • UFO Evidence • UFO Mystery

Licensing:

Theme Song: “Steve’s Djembe” by Vani, FMA, licensed: Creative Commons CC BY-SA 4.0 License.
“Morpheus” by Stone Calculus, DV8NOW Records, licensed: Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 License.
The audio recording and text transcript of this podcast episode is © 2026 DV8NOW Publishing LLC. The Renegade Files name and the Renegade Files UFO-Pyramid Logo are wholly owned Registered Trademarks of DV8NOW Publishing LLC.
Fair Use: Under Section 107 of the Copyright Act 1976, allowance is made for criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, and research.
Website: https://therenegadefiles.com/
Patreon: https://www.patreon.com/renegadefiles
Merch: https://therenegadefiles.com/shop/
YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/@renegadefiles
Instagram: https://www.instagram.com/renegadefiles/

Intro

Hello and welcome to Renegade Files, your weekly destination for Paranormal Adventures, Unsolved Mysteries, and Underground Culture. I'm your host, Lex Gordon, transmitting from the Jungle Villa Outpost, Deep in the Uncharted Tropics. This is Renegade Files Episode 151, FBI Agents Report Black Triangle UFO, Pentagon Files Released October 2026.

This time on Renegade Files, we're investigating a brand-new UFO case involving federal agents, a mysterious black triangular craft, and government documents released just weeks ago.

In June 2026, FBI agents stationed near a sensitive national security site in the western United States reported seeing a dark, triangular object suddenly appear above a distant ridgeline. According to the agents, it rose vertically, hovered without making a sound, and then accelerated away at an astonishing speed.

On October 23, 2026, the Pentagon released official records detailing the encounter, including eyewitness statements, investigative reports, and illustrations of the mysterious object.

The documents reveal not only the FBI Agents' remarkable observations, but important discrepancies that raise even more questions about exactly what they saw.

The object in this sighting echoes other encounters of large triangular crafts over the decades, from the famous Belgian UFO wave to the Phoenix Lights.

But this happened just 18 days ago at the time of this recording, and the reporting witnesses were from the FBI. The Pentagon has made the investigation public, and now, we will dive into it.

So what did these federal agents actually see? A genuine UFO, an advanced classified aircraft, or something beyond our understanding?

Join me now as we examine the newly released government files, reconstruct the encounter, connect the trend of black triangle UFO sightings, and return to the evidence to see what conclusions we can draw about one of the newest UFO mysteries of 2026.

==========

You can find all things Renegade Files at TheRenegadeFiles.com, your one stop for cool Merch, The RFA Agency on Patreon, Bonus Episodes, and more. Just visit TheRenegadeFiles.com.

Part 1: THE SIGHTING

• June 2026, approximately 8:23 p.m. local time. Three federal law enforcement agents were conducting observation duties near a sensitive national security installation in the western United States. The precise date, location, facility name, and agents' identities were redacted from the government records released in October 2026.

• The Pentagon has not publicly identified the installation or explained the specific reason for withholding its location. The surrounding terrain was described as mountainous, with ridgelines, valleys, and canyon drainages. The redactions may reflect routine national security precautions, but the location remains an unknown element of this investigation.

• The agents occupied two observation posts overlooking the surrounding terrain. The sun had dropped below the horizon, leaving enough light in the western sky to distinguish objects silhouetted against the ridges. Skies were largely clear, with little cloud cover.

• One agent, positioned at a secondary observation post and operating long-range thermal equipment, detected an aerial object west of his position. He alerted two other agents at a nearby observation post, who moved across the hilltop to obtain a direct view.

• The two agents observed a dark, nonreflective, triangular object above a distant ridgeline. They described something resembling a delta-wing craft, approximately 9 to 15 feet across, 6 to 9 feet from front to back, and only 1 to 2 feet thick.

• One agent watched the object with his eyes and binoculars. The other used a handheld thermal monocular. According to their descriptions, the object's surface appeared uniformly dark, without visible windows, rotors, exhaust outlets, or conventional propulsion components.

• The Pentagon's All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office, known as AARO, subsequently documented an extraordinary sequence of reported movements. The triangular object rose vertically approximately 200 to 300 feet above the ridgeline, maintaining a level orientation. The ascent reportedly lasted about ten seconds.

• According to AARO's account, the object then stopped and remained almost perfectly stationary for approximately twenty seconds. The agents reported no obvious movement caused by wind, no visible exhaust, and no sound from engines or rotors.

• The object then reportedly accelerated into horizontal flight, banked, and descended toward a canyon. The final maneuver took approximately two to three seconds. Witnesses compared its apparent speed to that of a military fighter jet, although no instrument recorded its actual speed.

• Throughout the encounter, the agents reported hearing nothing from the object. The handheld thermal equipment showed a consistent heat signature across its surface, without localized engine hot spots or visible exhaust.

• The FBI's original record of the two agents' signed statement describes the entire sighting as lasting approximately three seconds. AARO's later account describes a sequence lasting more than thirty seconds. Both documents concern the same incident, but provide substantially different timelines.

• On October 23, 2026, the Pentagon released the AARO memorandum, identified as DOW-UAP-D176, together with the FBI report, FBI-UAP-D043. The FBI material included nine digitally rendered illustrations based on the agents' descriptions. The released records do not establish the object's identity or origin.

• What did these federal agents encounter above that remote ridgeline? How could a triangular object apparently rise, hover, and accelerate away without an audible propulsion system? Why do the two official accounts differ so dramatically in duration? And what additional evidence, if any, could establish what actually happened?

Part 2: INSIDE THE GOVERNMENT FILES

• On October 23, 2026, the Pentagon released two records concerning the June encounter. The first, FBI-UAP-D043, is an FBI FD-302 report containing a written statement co-signed by two Special Agents. The second, DOW-UAP-D176, is a three-page memorandum prepared by the Pentagon's All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office, or AARO, following a joint witness debrief.

• The FBI document includes nine digitally rendered forensic illustrations of the encounter, showing the object against the mountainous landscape. These are reconstructions based on eyewitness descriptions, not photographs of the UFO itself. No original photograph or video recording of the triangular object was included in the publicly released material.

• The FBI statement describes the object as resembling a delta-wing. The agents estimated a wingspan between 9 and 15 feet, a front-to-back measurement of 6 to 9 feet, and a thickness of approximately 1 to 2 feet. AARO's subsequent memorandum narrowed the estimated wingspan to 13 to 15 feet. These dimensions describe a relatively small aerial object compared with many historical reports of larger, often enormous, black triangle UFOs.

• Also these agents were experienced observers. According to AARO's memorandum, the two primary witnesses had extensive operational experience working with unmanned aerial systems in cooperation with government agencies and academic research programs. They regularly observed conventional aircraft operating near their observation site, and they were familiar with drones and other aerial equipment.

• The agents used several observation methods. One observer at a separate position detected the object with long-range thermal equipment. Two other agents subsequently observed it with the naked eye, binoculars, and a handheld thermal monocular. However, the main tripod-mounted thermal system was initially facing east and couldn’t be moved fast enough to capture the object.

• The FBI statement describes the object as about 3.2 miles west of the agents' position. The object was reportedly lost from sight at approximately 1.5 miles. AARO described the estimated range as between 1.4 and 3.2 miles, using terrain features to help establish distance. The agents also compared the object's outline with trees along the ridgeline to estimate its physical size.

• Through the handheld thermal device, the FBI agents reported that the object appeared hotter than the surrounding environment, with heat distributed evenly across its surface. AARO described a uniform infrared signature with no concentrated engine heat, exhaust plume, or other obvious thermal indication of conventional propulsion. Neither of the released documents provides us with the temperature measurements or thermal imagery.

• The absence of sound was another central feature of the report. The agents said they heard no engine, rotor, or other noise during the encounter. AARO's memorandum records their assessment that even relatively small conventional drones or rotary-wing aircraft would ordinarily have been audible at the estimated distances.

• AARO reported that the object appeared to move directly from a stationary hover into high-speed horizontal flight, without an obvious acceleration period. The agents compared its apparent speed with that of a military fighter jet.

• The two documents also contain discrepancies. The FBI statement places the encounter at approximately 1923 hours, so 7:23 p.m., while AARO's memorandum gives 2023 local time, or 8:23 p.m. The released records do not explain this one-hour difference. The exact calendar date remains redacted.

• Now to me, because this time difference between the Agents’ report and the AARO analysis is exactly 1-hour to the minute, it seems likely that this is just a variation in some timekeeping convention, like one was using Daylight Savings Time and one wasn’t, or something like that. It’s more of a record keeping variation, in my mind, than 2 different witnesses reporting seeing something at 2 different times.

• The most significant difference concerns duration. The agents' co-signed FBI statement describes the entire sighting as lasting approximately three seconds. AARO divides the encounter into a ten-second vertical ascent, twenty seconds of hovering, and a two-to-three-second departure. That adds up to more than thirty seconds. The FBI statement does not describe the prolonged stationary hover included in AARO's reconstruction.

• The twenty-second hover is one of the most unusual reported characteristics. A stationary object without visible conventional propulsion would raise different technical questions from an object observed moving rapidly for only three seconds. Neither released document explains whether the difference resulted from a reporting error, a later clarification, or some other cause, so we just don't know.

• The AARO report records that the object disappeared behind terrain as it descended into a canyon. The handheld thermal monocular reportedly lost tracking as the object moved outside its narrow field of view. The witnesses reported no visible impact, smoke, dust, or other physical disturbance during its disappearance.

• The following morning, a ground survey of the canyon drainage found no wreckage, damaged vegetation, ground scarring, or other visible evidence of an impact. This is from the AARO document, and while it's true that they seem to have found no evidence of a crash or a landing, the important part to me is that we know they thought this was important enough… to look.

• The two principal witnesses reported no unusual physical effects, so no disorientation, headaches, visual disturbances, nausea, or memory gaps. AARO also recorded no unusual odors, electromagnetic interference, signal degradation, or abnormal readings on personal or agency equipment.

• AARO's publicly released summary states that the broader investigation also included a secondary aerial observation on the following evening. It doesn't say if they saw anything that second night, and if they did, they haven't made any reports available yet.

• This is a cool sighting because AARO thought it was important enough to investigate further, and part of why according to them is because the object's shape and movements resembled characteristics documented in other UAP reports from the same general area.

• So to wrap up this part, the official records establish that federal agents reported an unusual aerial encounter and that AARO documented the event. The reports leave a few unanswered questions, like exactly what the object was, where it was from, how it was able to move without sound or exhaust, why the timing of the reports differ, and whether additional classified or unreleased evidence could provide an actual identification.

Part 3: THE BLACK TRIANGLE UFO PHENOMENON

• The FBI agents' June 2026 sighting joins a long history of reports describing dark, triangular objects moving through the sky. These encounters often share several characteristics: triangular or delta-wing shapes, unusually slow flight or hovering, little or no sound, and movements witnesses have difficulty explaining. Some reports describe objects hundreds of feet across, while others involve much smaller craft.

• One of the most famous waves of black triangle sightings began on November 29, 1989, near Eupen, Belgium, close to the German border. That evening, two Belgian police

officers, Heinrich Nicoll and Hubert von Montigny, reported observing a large triangular craft with bright lights near its corners and a red flashing light near the center.

• The officers described an object that moved slowly and almost silently above the surrounding countryside. They followed it as it traveled toward Eupen and later toward Lake Gileppe. According to the account later published by Belgian Air Force General Wilfried De Brouwer, the object remained nearly stationary over the lake for approximately an hour.

• Reports continued throughout Belgium during the winter of 1989 and into 1990. The Belgian UFO research organization SOBEPS collected hundreds of accounts. Witnesses frequently described large triangular objects with three bright lights, sometimes accompanied by a smaller red light. Some claimed the objects hovered at low altitude before accelerating away.

• The Belgian Air Force became involved after repeated reports from police officers and civilians. On the night of March 30 into March 31, 1990, military radar operators received reports of unusual lights near Wavre. Ground radar stations detected unidentified returns, and shortly after midnight, two Belgian F-16 fighter jets were scrambled from Beauvechain Air Base.

• The F-16s made nine interception attempts during approximately fifty minutes of flight. According to the Belgian Air Force's July 1990 summary, the aircraft obtained several brief radar locks, with reported target speeds ranging from approximately 150 to more than 1,000 knots. The fighter pilots never established visual contact with an unidentified craft.

• The Belgian Air Force's initial public assessment could not identify the reported phenomena. Later technical analysis did raise questions about whether some of the dramatic radar movements resulted from tracking errors, but no conclusive evidence ever fully excluded the possibility of actual extraordinary performance of the objects.

• I also have to mention that amid the Belgian wave, there was a famous photograph of a triangular UFO that was widely circulated as evidence. But in 2011, a man admitted that it was a hoax, made with a small model. This doesn’t really discredit all of the sightings, especially the ones involving fighter jets chasing the objects and military radar data, but I wanted you to know about that one photo hoax, because debunkers of the Belgian UFOs love to use that to end all conversations on the subject.

• Seven years after the Belgian triangle UFO events, on March 13, 1997, Arizona experienced one of the most famous mass UFO sightings in American history: the Phoenix Lights. We covered this case in detail in Renegade Files Episode 009, The Phoenix Lights UFO Event. That episode is still available in the archive at TheRenegadeFiles.com, and wherever you listen to the show.

• During the evening, witnesses from northern Arizona through the Phoenix metropolitan area reported a formation of lights moving across the sky. Around 8 p.m., observers near Prescott described five or more lights arranged in a V or boomerang shape. Similar reports followed from communities farther south as the formation appeared to travel across Arizona.

• Witnesses described a single enormous, dark triangular object. Several claimed they could see stars disappearing behind a solid structure as it passed overhead. Many reported that the formation moved slowly and produced no noticeable sound. Check that episode out… the phoenix lights, episode 9.

• Then On January 5, 2000, another striking triangular UFO encounter occurred in southwestern Illinois. In the early morning hours, police officers from several departments, including Lebanon, Shiloh, Millstadt, and Dupo, reported seeing a large, unusually illuminated object moving across St. Clair County.

• One Lebanon police officer filed a detailed report with the National UFO Reporting Center, or NUFORC. He described first seeing two brilliant white lights near Summerfield, Illinois, at approximately 4:15 a.m. As he drove toward the object, its appearance changed from two lights to an elongated shape. When it passed near his position, he could make out a large, narrow triangle.

• The officer reported three bright white lights positioned near the corners, a blinking red light, and additional lights along the rear. He estimated that he watched the object for four to five minutes. He also said it made no sound as it passed overhead, then accelerated toward the southwest.

• The officers communicated by police radio as the object moved between their jurisdictions. Other officers reported seeing it from different locations, providing multiple eyewitness accounts of the same general event.

• A more recent black triangle account came from Afghanistan in 2002. According to an FBI interview with a former military pilot, the witness was near Bagram at approximately 4:30 a.m. when he noticed stars disappearing from view above him. He described a huge, dark, equilateral triangular shape passing overhead from west to east.

• The pilot estimated that the object was approximately 500 feet across, traveled at a consistent altitude and speed of roughly 150 knots, and had no visible lights. He reported no sound. Another pilot reportedly saw the same object as well.

• This Afghanistan account has a particularly interesting connection to our current investigation. Although the sighting reportedly happened in 2002, the Pentagon released the FBI interview material and an accompanying artist's rendering on August 7, 2026. So within a few months of each other, two different government document releases have brought black triangle UFO accounts into public view.

• The Afghanistan report also shows how varied these sightings are. The 2002 witness described an object approximately 500 feet across, compared with the FBI agents' estimated 9-to-15-foot wingspan in June 2026. Both reports involve dark triangular shapes and an absence of noticeable sound, but their reported sizes and flight behaviors differ considerably.

• A possible conventional explanation for some black triangle sightings involves military aircraft. Delta-wing aircraft, stealth bombers, experimental drones, and formations of conventional aircraft can all produce triangular silhouettes under certain viewing conditions. The Northrop B-2 Spirit, for example, has a broad flying-wing design that can appear triangular when viewed from below. But matching the general shape does not establish that any particular sighting involved a known aircraft.

• The best cases are reports from trained observers like this case of the FBI security agents who were routinely stationed at places where they observed military aircraft and drones. So their reports of a UFO tend to be weighted a bit heavier than someone who has only a passing knowledge of objects in flight.

• Reports of unusual triangular aerial objects have persisted for decades. The triangular UFO is absolutely in a category of its own, even if there are differences in size and lighting and location, but the shape does appear over and over again.

• That brings us back to the newly released Pentagon files. The June 2026 encounter shares some characteristics with earlier triangle reports, particularly the dark shape, apparent hovering, lack of sound, and unusual departure. But the FBI agents reported a much smaller object, and we have two official accounts that disagree about how long the sighting lasted. Let’s dig into those details in our final examination of what the agents may have witnessed.

Part 4: BACK TO THE PENTAGON UFO CASE

• So now, back to June 2026, when three federal agents reported a black triangular object flying near a sensitive national security installation in the western United States. After looking at those earlier black triangle sightings, there are some interesting similarities, especially the unusual movements and the reports of these objects making absolutely no sound.

• The first explanation worth considering is that the FBI agents observed some type of advanced military aircraft or experimental drone. The location is certainly relevant. We know the incident happened near a sensitive national security installation, and it's reasonable to consider whether classified aircraft or unmanned systems might have been operating in the area.

• Now, we can’t say for sure exactly where this was. All we know is that it was at an undisclosed sensitive national security installation in the western United States. It could be a few locations, but at the top of that list, if I were a gambling man… ***Area 51***. Not saying I know for sure, but that’s a safe bet. Or one of the other nearby top secret training grounds… there are a few.

• The unidentified object described in the FBI report was estimated at only 9 to 15 feet across. That's well within the range of many unmanned aerial vehicles. The agents themselves described its appearance as resembling a delta-wing , which there are drones shaped like that.

• According to the AARO memorandum, the object rose vertically without changing its orientation, hovered for approximately twenty seconds, and then accelerated away at what witnesses compared to fighter-jet speed. Conventional fixed-wing aircraft require forward movement to generate lift, while helicopters and multirotor drones can hover, but ordinarily produce detectable rotor noise and identifiable thermal signatures.

• There are experimental aircraft that combine vertical takeoff with conventional forward flight. Some use tilting rotors, ducted fans, or other propulsion arrangements. But the agents reported seeing none of those features. Of course, the object was more than a mile away, so small mechanical details may have been difficult to see.

• Then there's the silence. This is one of the details that stands out to me. The agents were experienced with aircraft and drones and believed that conventional equipment operating at the estimated distances would have been audible. But sound can be affected by distance, wind direction, terrain, atmospheric conditions, and background noise. We don't have any recorded audio… just the reports of no sound.

• Another possibility is that the agents misjudged the object's size. Knowing the size of something when you don’t know for sure how near or far away it is gets really difficult. And something close but small can appear a similar size to something bigger and farther away.

• In this case, the agents attempted to estimate distance by comparing the object with known terrain features and trees along the ridgeline. That gives their observations more context than a completely isolated light in the sky.

• The infrared observations are interesting too. According to the agents, the object appeared hotter than its surroundings, but without concentrated engine heat or an obvious exhaust plume. A conventional aircraft would normally have some source of heat associated with its propulsion system. However, thermal devices show differences in infrared radiation, and the appearance of an object depends on the sensor, viewing angle, atmospheric conditions, and the materials being observed.

• Since the actual thermal recording hasn't been made public, we can't examine the image ourselves or determine whether the apparently uniform heat signature was unusual. We have the agents' descriptions and AARO's documentation of those observations. That's valuable testimony, but it's not the same thing as having the original sensor data available for independent analysis.

• Now, the question of whether this was something beyond known human technology is obviously part of the discussion. If an aircraft really performed the movements described in the AARO report, particularly the stationary hover followed by extremely rapid horizontal movement, it would be an unusual performance profile. But before we can establish that those capabilities actually occurred, we'd need accurate measurements of the object's motion.

• And this brings us back to the biggest inconsistency in the records. The FBI agents' original written statement says the sighting lasted approximately three seconds. AARO's later memorandum describes a sequence lasting more than thirty seconds. That's a major

difference, and unlike the one-hour discrepancy in the reported time of day, it isn't easily explained by a time-zone or daylight-saving adjustment.

• It's possible that AARO obtained additional details during its subsequent interviews, or that one of the documents contains an error. We don't know. I would especially like to know whether the agents confirmed the thirty-second sequence when AARO interviewed them, because the twenty-second hover is such an important part of the case.

• The fact that there were multiple witnesses is another strength of this report. One agent initially detected the object using long-range thermal equipment, while two others observed it from another position. These were people with operational experience around military aircraft and unmanned aerial systems. Their backgrounds give us good reason to take the report seriously, although experience doesn't make them immune to mistakes.

• Something else that caught my attention is the government's response. The agents documented the encounter, then the canyon area was searched the following morning, and AARO conducted a formal witness debrief. AARO also considered the sighting worthy of further investigation so they set up surveillance the next night.

• We also know the publicly released documents contain significant redactions. The exact date, installation, location, and identities of the agents have been withheld. Those omissions limit what independent researchers can verify, including whether military exercises, experimental aircraft, or unusual drone operations were taking place in that area at the time.

• Also, we know the released files don't include original photographs, video, radar tracking, or recorded thermal imagery of the triangular object. They do include the FBI's reconstructed illustrations and the agents' descriptions. So it's possible that other information exists in government records.

• So what do I think happened? My first thought is that an experimental military aircraft or drone deserves serious consideration. The object's estimated size, delta-wing appearance, and proximity to a national security installation make that possibility reasonable. But the movements described by the witnesses, especially the vertical ascent, hovering, and rapid departure, aren't fully explained by simply calling it a drone.

• And to me, that's where this investigation stands. We have an official FBI report, an AARO investigation, multiple federal witnesses, and a description of an aircraft that hasn't been publicly identified. We also have missing sensor data, redacted information, and two accounts that don't completely agree.

• What makes this case particularly interesting is how recent it is. The encounter occurred in June 2026, and the files were released on October 23. We're not trying to reconstruct an incident from fifty or seventy years ago, where most of the original witnesses and evidence may be long gone. This happened recently, and the government has already made part of the investigative record public.

• The October release also comes shortly after the August 2026 publication of the Afghanistan black triangle account.

• For now, the June 2026 black triangle remains unidentified in the publicly available records. We can't say it was extraterrestrial, and we can't say it was a classified military aircraft.

• I'll be interested to see whether AARO releases additional information, particularly clarification of the two timelines, details of the second night's observation, or more information about the other regional UAP reports mentioned in its memorandum. Any of those could help establish what actually happened here.

• And that's what makes this such a fascinating case to follow. It's happening right now, in the sense that the government files have only recently become public, and the investigation may still have more to reveal. In the meantime, this strange black triangle joins a long history of triangular UFO sightings, with enough familiar characteristics to make the comparison interesting, and enough unanswered questions to keep the mystery very much alive.

Ending Sign-Off

Thank you sincerely for exploring Renegade Files Episode 151, FBI Agents Report Black Triangle UFO | Pentagon Files Released Oct. 2026, with me.

Subscribe or Follow the show now, so together we can meet here next time and explore the deepest stories of Covert Culture, UFOs, Aliens, Hauntings, Cryptids, Conspiracy Theories, and Unsolved Mysteries.

I’m so glad to have you in the Renegade Files Crew.

If you want more Renegade Files between episodes, visit TheRenegadeFiles.com for the Merch Shop, the podcast archive, and the RFA Agency on Patreon. The RFA has Bonus Episodes, and eligible paid tiers include a seven-day free trial.

Thank you to the RFA Agents on Patreon. You make this show possible.

Until next Wednesday, I’m your host Lex Gordon ...

Stay Wild, ***Night Shift*** Child!