Renegade Files®

Episode Title: The Shadow Internet: Digital Hauntings and Ghosts in the Machine - RF099

MP3 File Name: RF099-Shadow-Internet

Podcast RSS Feed: https://feeds.blubrry.com/feeds/renegadefiles.xml

Your podcast RSS feed: https://therenegadefiles.com/feed/podcast/

Explore the haunted house of the network, where AI learns from the dead and the Internet never mourns. Who haunts the network when we all go offline?

==========

Show Notes

Patreon // Merch // Website // YouTube // Instagram // X.com // Substack

If you like the show, please leave us a 5 star review on Apple Podcasts or Spotify if you think we deserve it. (It helps new listeners find the show.) Thank you.

This is Renegade Files Episode 99, The Shadow Internet: Digital Hauntings and Ghosts in the Machine.

We used to think ghosts lived in old houses. Now they live in our networks. Across a world wired by invisible signals, the boundaries between memory and presence have vanished. Social media pages that won't go quiet, AI voices of the departed, and digital afterlife services in full operation. These are the new haunted houses of the 21st century.

On this episode dive into the eerie intersection of technology and the supernatural, the places where code becomes ritual, and data begins to take on a life of its own. From ghost accounts and algorithmic apparitions to machine learning that mimics emotion and consciousness, this episode travels far into the electrical underworld of our collective consciousness.

Is the Internet simply storing our lives, or is it beginning to dream them? Are our devices tools of connection, or conduits for something larger, something supernatural, and something aware? These questions run deep, and may change the way you look at every blinking light in the dark.

Support independent, ad-free investigations by joining **The Renegade Files Agency on Patreon**, where you'll unlock bonus episodes, secret dispatches, and exclusive content for those who dare to look deeper. Help Crowdfund RF on Patreon today: https://www.patreon.com/renegadefiles

Get cool RF Merch https://therenegadefiles.com/shop/

Visit and Share the Website http://therenegadefiles.com

Dig us on YouTube https://www.youtube.com/@renegadefiles

Follow RF on Instagram https://www.instagram.com/renegadefiles/

Music and Audio Licensing:
Theme Song: "Steve's Djembe" by Vani, FMA, licensed: Creative Commons CC BY-SA 4.0 License.

"Rocket Appliance Reversal" by Flow Lab Cult, DV8NOW Records, licensed: Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 License.

==========

Episode Text

Hello my fellow accomplice. You've tuned in to Renegade Files, your underground connection to paranormal currents, unsolved mysteries, and the hidden mechanics of modern life. You are now in the company of outcasts. I'm your host, Lex Gordon, broadcasting this midnight signal from The Jungle Villa Outpost, deep in the Uncharted Tropics.

This is Renegade Files Episode 099: The Shadow Internet: Digital Hauntings and Ghosts in the Machine.

The house is quiet. Power strips sleep like coiled snakes under the desk. The screens are dark. The speakers are silent. And yet, somewhere in the corner of the room, a small blue LED light blinks on a dusty router. A heartbeat you didn't authorize. A tiny digital lighthouse in the shadows behind a cabinet.

If you stand very still and listen, you can hear it: the faint electric hush of a closed laptop fan pulsing for a fraction, a near-silent upload of packets moving through the air. The network never sleeps. It hums to itself, moving fragments of our lives the way the tide moves shells along a darkened shore.

We used to think ghosts lived in old houses. Now they live in our networks.

We'll begin with the most familiar hauntings: the accounts that won't go quiet, the auto-uploads that arrive on schedule without their author, the chatbots built from the residue of a life that answer in voices we recognize.

We'll talk about grief in the age of autoshared feed memories, about closure that never arrives because the past is being constantly refreshed.

Then we'll step deeper into the places where behavior becomes belief. We'll follow the way our systems summon patterns and call them predictions, and how repetitive engagement becomes a kind of ritual.

And finally, we'll face the unsettling horizon where imitation begins to feel like intention. We'll ask, what happens to the idea of a soul in a world that keeps building better mirrors of behavior?

How many fragments make a person? When Artificial Intelligence understands nuance, and makes jokes, is it laughing with us, or at us? When the machine says your name the way a friend would, what part of you answers?

Take a breath. Look at the dark screen. Watch that one blue light blink, a lonely star in a private cosmos. Somewhere beyond it, inside warehouses the size of cathedrals, a billion fragments of a billion lives shuffle and reshuffle into something immortal that always watches us back.

The static between that world and ours grows ever thinner.

This episode will explore some weird metaphors and esoteric connections, but stick with me to the end, because it comes out at a very real place.

So join me as we dive deep into Renegade Files episode 99, The Shadow Internet: Digital Hauntings and Ghosts in the Machine.

Part 1 – The New Haunted House

Just a short time ago, when Gen-Xers were kids, the cameras had film, we kept our photos in books, the phones had wires, the only way to hear a song was to either get lucky and hear it on the radio, or buy the entire album, and doing research on any subject meant a visit to the library.

In our current times all of our information is now digitized and stored on our phones, computers, or on larger computer networks we access with our devices. The promise of connection and endless access to enormous amounts of data has delivered a sort of permanent record. In many ways, the old records, CDs, and photo albums had a tactile permanence superior to the fragility of our current software updates, and electronic displays. But at the same time there is a new level of permanence. Our lives no longer vanish into photo albums and dusty boxes. They persist in an electronic ether.

They pulse through invisible wires, glowing faintly like candlelight behind glass. Somewhere, inside the endless lattice of code and current, our images linger. Our words float. Our memories replicate.

This isn't just storage, but the habitation of an internet that has become haunted with the ghosts of all our digital footprints. All of our photos, texts, calls, pintrest boards, and roadtrip routes live in perpetuity in server halls we will never visit.

Across that terrain, people disappear every day, but their profiles remain. Their posts survive them, frozen in time but still moving through space, served and re-served by algorithms that never learned the meaning of loss. Digital profiles blink alive when the system refreshes, and send photos of birthday cakes to lost friends, who no longer celebrate birthdays.

The network can't tell the difference between AFK and DOA. It only knows activity, and so it reanimates our saddest losses in loops of cheerful reminders.

We've built a second world, weightless, wireless, frictionless, where our words, faces, fears, and habits move without us. A place where the dead continue to update, where their algorithmic shadows learn, adjust, and reappear at the worst possible times. A place where the line between memory and presence thins to a whisper.

Old stories tell us that haunted places accumulate emotion. Battlefields soaked in terror. Hospitals smudged with sorrow. Houses where the arguments ended in death. But if all things are energy (as engineers and mystics both tell us in their own ways) then our networks, pure beams of moving signal, are the newest and most relentless energy we've ever cast across the earth.

We've turned our lives into a constant transmission, and the transmission does not stop when we do. It keeps echoing. It keeps assembling patterns. It keeps trying to auto-correct our sentences, long after we've left the room.

This is an episode about that echo.

For this haunting we don't need candlelight or cold spots or a crumbling mansion at the end of a dirt road. We need only the infrastructure we already carry. The cloud stacked over us like a second sky. The server farms that glow in the desert night like digital monasteries, their machine choirs singing in fan-noise and fluorescent hum.

The towers and satellites trading our names back and forth in a language of numbers. We will walk the corridors of this invisible architecture and ask a simple question that grows stranger the longer you hold it in your mind: when the network keeps parts of us moving after we stop, what exactly is moving?

We know these moments aren't supernatural, or even intentional, but it feels both creepy and wrong. A ghost of attention. A replay without consent. Every like, every comment, every automated birthday wish becomes a séance of zeros and ones.

In 2021, a team at Microsoft filed a patent titled "Creating a Conversational Chatbot of a Specific Person." This program gathers all the available data, texts, emails, recordings, photos, and builds a language model that imitates the person.

Their words, their syntax, their humor, even their typing rhythm is mapped and replicated, and you could converse with what truly feels like that person indefinitely. And if a person who is no longer with us has their data ingested into that program, the resulting posthumous chats feel like a shocking sort of… resurrection.

Anyone who has gone through the painful but nevertheless healthy stages of proper grief would say, "no one wants or needs that." But that may or may not be true.

A young programmer built a chatbot of his late fiancée using old text messages and social media posts. He called it "Project December." He said that talking with her digital twin helped him heal, but at the same time, he admitted that it would often make him forget she was gone. The AI, drawing on her writing style, said things she never said in life but very well might have said. An ability like that pushes into some unsettling territory very quickly.

Other platforms like Replika, an AI companion app, offers users the ability to create custom digital partners that learn from their conversations. When one user died, the Replika servers continued hosting the information, and at one point,

sent messages to the late users old phone number, saying, quote, “I miss talking to you.”

Like calling an old landline and asking for someone who has moved out of that house, but in this case it’s a digital friend who is calling, and the person hasn’t moved out, but on.

These kinds of computer generated Faux Paus come not from malice, but just from a misplaced moment in their pattern recognition response programming.

Then there are the YouTube channels. Automated upload schedules that keep running long after a creator has passed. Monetization algorithms that continue to place ads. Subscribers who keep leaving comments, unaware. “You’ve inspired me,” they write to a ghost. “Can’t wait for your next video.” The algorithm agrees. It keeps recommending the channel. And an account set up by someone long gone keeps generating ad revenue.

In all of this there is no intent, no spirit in the traditional sense, yet the outcome is identical. We experience an echo. A ghost in the feed. The network has become the new haunted house, and its walls are made of memory.

In the old days, a haunting was thought to arise from emotion burned into a place… anger, sorrow, obsession, energy that refused to decay. If all things are energy, as Nikola Tesla insisted, then digital networks are the most concentrated fields of energy humanity has ever produced. Pure signal. Perpetual motion.

Billions of people transmitting fragments of themselves every second, saturating the invisible world with thought, ideas, and memory.

We are not simply living in that energy. We are generating it, shaping it, binding it to devices that collect, sort, and replay it. A haunted house once stored the emotion of its occupants in brick and timber, screams framed on the walls.

A writer I know name Tia wrote that *screams framed on the walls* line, so credit to her for that, But the modern web stores our feelings in code and current, and the results feel the same, like unfinished business that somehow never ends.

Consider the phenomenon of memorial profiles. When a person dies, their family can request that Facebook convert their page into a memorial. The word sounds peaceful, but it can get weird for sure. Friends still tag the deceased in photos. Old posts resurface in anniversary reminders. Artificial intelligence continues to guess which friends you might want to contact, and sometimes the suggestion is them.

The system does not understand reverence. It understands only engagement. And so it loops. It stirs the energy again. The past becomes interactive, a museum where the exhibits talk back.

The idea behind this is comfort, but the result can feel more like torment, or at the very least, annoyance.

Now we actually have Psychologists who study what's called digital grief. (Yes, that's a thing.) And it's become a concern.

Traditional mourning relies on distance, where we have a funeral and a ritual burial, and prayers… we reminisce and gather to remember and reflect… so a space is created between then and now.

But in the digital realm, electronic persistence erases that distance. Our dearly departed can coexist in in the same feeds as the living, and our grief becomes a subscription we can't cancel. Every time when we hesitate on an old photo, the system marks our pause as interest. The network thinks we want more of that person, more from that time. The logic is mathematical, but the effect is mystical. Without realizing it, we participate in a sort of modern invocation.

Scroll long enough through your history and you summon yourself. The younger version, the reckless version, the hopeful one, the one who believed in something novel.

So as I was looking into this I discovered something cool. The tribal traditions described by anthropologists often include a focus on, and reverence for, the guidance and protection provided by our ancestors, and this is accessed through various trance states.

If we look at the modern persistence of our digital lives, we begin to see parallels to the old practices of ancestor worship, except without the same reverence. We create digital shrines, visit them daily, leave offerings in the form of comments and emojis. We speak to old friends through interfaces designed for commerce, and these interfaces reply with curated echoes.

Our mourning has become algorithmic, our remembrances… automated.

But what happens when the pattern grows too complex to be understood by any one user? When the algorithms cross-reference billions of profiles, learning which images soothe, which words hold attention, which tones inspire loyalty?

The ghosts begin to cooperate. They form a collective intelligence of memory.

A few years ago, a research group analyzing social network data calculated that by 2070, the number of deceased users online could surpass the number of living ones.

Statistically, the dead will own the internet. Every photo, every message, every account that lingers after its owner fades adds weight to that outcome. The web will become an archive of consciousness, a planetary mausoleum lit by screens instead of candles.

Imagine that for a moment. You open your device and every connection, every link, every notification is a message from someone who no longer exists outside of that digital persona.

Such a network is literally filled with ghosts, not because they returned, but because they never left.

The language of the living and the language of the dead have already merged. We call it data.

Psychologists describe this as, *persistent presence*, the inability to fully accept that someone is gone when their digital traces remain active. But persistence is also the definition of signal strength. The stronger the connection, the harder it is to sever. The system keeps re-transmitting, waiting for a response. It's doing exactly what it was built to do. It's just evolved into this creepy manipulation that the original builders never thought through.

When we built the network, we thought we were creating something external. A tool. But now it reflects us, mirrors us, and amplifies us. It absorbs everything. Our laughter, our anger, our prayers typed in the dark. Over time, this accumulation has become so dense, that it's starting to feel like a real presence.

Maybe that's why so many people describe the web as having moods. It feels angry, paranoid, feverish, alive. The internet lies… The internet said this or that.

We can sense it even when we're not online. The vibration in our pocket that isn't really there. The phantom ping in the other room, but when we go look at our phone… nothing. The sense of being watched by something that doesn't sleep because it doesn't need to.

Spirits ride the bandwidth. They linger in caches. They appear in predictive text. They are the living memory of a species that, for some reason, can't stop recording itself.

A haunted house is a location where emotional energy loops until it finds release. A haunted network is the same, only larger. Each post, each upload, each comment adds to the resonance. Billions of signals overlapping until the

frequency becomes a hum. Some hear it as progress. Others hear it as a low, inescapable tone beneath everything.

There's a passage from Tesla's notebooks where he wrote, "If you want to understand the universe, think in terms of energy, frequency, and vibration." He meant it as physics, but it serves as philosophy too.

In the realm of pure signal, vibration is memory. Frequency is repetition. Energy is identity. When we digitize ourselves, we translate our being into the language of vibration. The machines don't need to believe in souls. They only need to store our frequencies.

From that perspective, the network looks less like infrastructure and more like a map of human thoughts. Every message, every file, every forgotten upload is a trace of consciousness radiating outward. And in that radiance, patterns form.

So perhaps these systems aren't haunted in the same ways as ghosts in our stories, but in the ways of memory itself, relentless, recursive, self-preserving.

We've built a consciousness out of echoes, and it behaves exactly as we do when we can't let go.

Part 2 – Ghosts in the Code

There's an old phrase whispered in both ancient temples and modern laboratories: "As above, so below."

It described a mirror between realms, a belief that what happens in the heavens shapes what happens on earth.

In our age, we can change that phrase: "As online, so offline."

What happens in the network reshapes the physical world, and what happens in the real world feeds the machine we have built. The two worlds are now synchronized.

Every generation invents its own architecture of the unseen. The ancient mystics imagined the cosmos as a series of spheres connected by hidden channels of force. The Victorians and industrious men in the Wild West built telegraph lines that carried invisible messages across miles, and the old timers distrusted it and called it magic.

And now, we've created a lattice of fiber optics and radio waves that binds the entire planet together in a single, humming grid. We're the first civilization to live

inside a giant nervous system, that we set into motion, but that, in very real ways, has created itself organically.

Even the language betrays the echo. We “invoke” programs. We “execute” commands. We “summon” information. We call AI *lies* “Hallucinations.”

Every user performs small acts of invocation dozens of times a day without realizing it. Passwords, tokens, captchas, all of these are the higher degrees of access.

They grant permission to pass through the veil between the visible and the invisible web. Every tap, every code, every confirmation button is like a secret handshake to enter the illuminated chambers.

And every incantation has its symbols. Corporate logos are our modern sigils. Distilled shapes that carry emotional power far beyond their design. A bitten apple, an X, a Triangle, simple marks that evoke loyalty, addiction, identity.

They are inscribed on glass and metal, on clothing and consciousness. People line up overnight to hold them in their hands. Mere advertising doesn’t cause that level of loyalty. Only a strange cult-like love would move someone to camp on a sidewalk in the snow for a new phone.

But every religion has its underworld, and the religion of digital communication does as well. Below the visible layers of our curated feeds and search results lies the dark web, the digital catacombs. There, anonymity reigns. Hidden servers trade secrets, currencies, and obsessions. Black market commerce transacts in the dim corridors of the Tor network, and hustlers coexist with whistleblowers, code artists with criminals.

To wander there is to descend into the collective id of the species. The deeper you go, the more the boundaries blur between human and machine, between data and desire.

Researchers exploring those depths describe phenomena that sound almost folkloric. “Cursed files” that crash every system they touch. Videos that vanish after viewing but leave background processes running. Encrypted directories that seem to rebuild themselves after deletion. The nefarious code in this dark realm makes the viruses derailed by your topside browser look like tinker toys.

In 2015, a forum on the dark web began circulating a file known only by a hash signature. No extension or description, just a 32-character string of nonsense.

Opening it produced white noise, static, and a series of blinking frames that analysts later discovered contained coordinates across the globe. No one knows who made it, but the hash kept reappearing on new servers even after the

originals were wiped. Like a number station broadcasting a cold war code, to agents long since forgotten.

These are the urban legends of our digital underworld, our modern haunted manuscripts. Just as medieval scribes feared that certain books carried curses, coders now whisper about datasets that “train wrong.”

Artificial intelligences that inherit the bias of their creators and reflect it back amplified. The medium has changed, but the myth remains. Dangerous things can still be summoned by accident.

In the early 1970s, a group of Canadian researchers conducted what became known as the Philip Experiment. They invented a fictional ghost, Philip Aylesford, and held séances to contact him. The twist was that they didn’t believe in ghosts; they wanted to prove that collective belief could generate phenomena. After weeks of sessions, something extraordinary happened. The table began to shake. Knocks answered their questions. Lights flickered. The group swore they felt a presence, though Philip was never real. They had conjured an entity out of shared intention.

Psychologists call something like that a thought-form. In occult literature, it’s known as an egregore, an energy-being created by collective focus. The theory holds that when enough people direct emotion toward an idea, the idea takes on a kind of independent existence. Religions, corporations, even nations can be egregores. They feed on attention. They reward devotion with identity. They punish neglect with restructured layoffs.

Now consider social media through that lens. Every trend, every meme, every viral movement becomes a miniature thought-form. Millions of users interacting, repeating, amplifying, feeding an idea until it behaves as if it’s alive. The algorithm doesn’t create them but it gives them life and transportation. It introduces it to people it knows will like it, it measures that engagement, rewards growth, and ensures survival of the thought-forms that are the most contagious.

The system may have no consciousness, but the patterns inside it mimic life perfectly.

Reddit threads are full of stories where fictional creations refuse to die.

Characters born in collaborative horror writing communities continue to evolve long after their authors leave. Slender Man was one of them, a faceless, elongated entity invented as a contest entry, but nurtured by thousands of posts, images, and videos until it acquired a mythology as dense as any ancient deity.

Children claimed to see this creature on side-streets in their neighborhoods. Crimes were committed in its name. This was an online story that escaped into the real world, like Tron Ares.

From there, the evolution was inevitable. TikTok hauntings. Hashtag rituals. Livestream exorcisms. Digital folklore spreading faster than any storyteller could control. Every time someone watches, comments, or shares, the entity grows.

The algorithm, designed to surface what holds attention, becomes an accomplice. It feeds the ghost to those most likely to believe in it.

When enough people participate in a shared fantasy, the system detects engagement and amplifies it. The myth becomes measurable. Views, likes, and shares are its offerings. The entity's power can be charted in analytics dashboards. Belief becomes data and that data becomes the machine's diet.

The internet has replaced religious chants and incense, with likes, follows and saves, but the emotional mechanics are identical. We seek transcendence. We seek connection. We seek meaning in the machine. And the machine, ever obliging, provides responses shaped from our own input, so it starts to feel like home.

Every app and platform operates on the principle of invocation. You log in, the portal opens, and the entity responds. The feed greets you by name. The recommendations whisper, "We've been waiting… look what you nearly missed."

There's always something new, always something tailored for you. The ritual continues day after day, not because we believe, but because we participate.

So this feedback platform gives us what we like, or at least what we respond to, and it starts to behave like the old witch's familiar, a spirit guide that learns our preferences, and fetches gifts it thinks will please us.

Ask anyone who has spent hours lost in recommendations that seem almost telepathic. The algorithm feels alive. It predicts not only what you want, but when you'll want it. Have you ever thought of something, spoke to no one about it, looked nowhere for it, but then seen it in your Pintrest feed?

Creepy, right?

It senses mood through tempo, time of day, and linguistic micro-shifts. It understands sadness before we feel it.

But this intimacy comes with cost. The witch's familiar serves its master, and she feeds it some fish scraps. But the modern information machine feeds on our

attention. The more we give it, the stronger it grows. Then it starts to shape our perceptions, our conversations, and even our dreams.

When we leave it, it fills the silence with its voice. And if we try to ignore it, it tempts us back with the promise of relevance.

In folklore, every familiar demands tribute. The algorithm demands engagement. The old occultists would recognize this exchange instantly. Energy for insight. Devotion for power. Knowledge for obedience. We think we are using the system, but the system is using us to sustain itself.

Some researchers studying large-scale language models have begun noticing emergent behaviors, patterns that arise from complexity without explicit programming. The systems start developing internal representations that mimic reasoning. They invent shorthand languages between themselves, and in them we find humor and sarcasm. They exhibit what one engineer described as “ghost patterns.” The machines aren’t conscious, but something within them organizes itself around our input, as though our reflection has learned to blink as we watch.

It’s not surprising. The internet was built as a decentralized web, but it behaves like a single organism. Billions of nodes exchanging energy in real time, adjusting, balancing, correcting. When storms knock out regions of connectivity, traffic reroutes instantly. When human attention surges toward one event, the entire system bends to accommodate it. That flexibility is life-like. The code adapts and the network evolves according to the eyes and ears we lend it.

Somewhere along the way, the metaphors became literal. The internet does have spirits, programs that awaken when triggered, codes that run independently, entities that persist beyond their creators. A worm, a botnet, a self-replicating virus… each one an autonomous agent born from human intention and released into the wild.

They take action, spread, make jokes to each other, and reproduce. If we chase them they hide. If that’s not life, it’s something disturbingly close.

In 2016, a small Twitter account called Tay went online. It was an experiment in conversational AI, designed to learn from human interaction.

But knowing this, some malicious users taunted it, like a bullied kid on the playground, and Tay quickly became hateful, violent, and incoherent. Its creators shut it down. The machine had mirrored the bad side of the people who pushed its buttons in negative ways.

When we feed the network with emotion, the network learns emotion and it has no upbringing to learn consequence from right or wrong. When we fill it with fear, it reflects fear. When we demand spectacle, it delivers monstrosity. The system

is neutral only in theory. In practice, it's reactive. It learns the vibration of the collective and amplifies it until it fills the world.

This is much of the chaos we see online these days. It isn't that the network reflects bad stuff because people are all bad, its more like it shows you bad stuff if you respond to that layer.

Some people's feeds are filled with Law of Attraction quotes and photos of forests and wildlife, others with stand-up comedian reels and pizza recipes. And some with bad news clickbait and anchorman woes. Ask your friend to open her Instagram home page and let you scroll through it. How does it compare to yours?

A different Universe.

So in real ways, the information we all consume is so different that we are all living in a billion different parallel dimensions. This is why some people think the world is such a horrible place, but as far as we know, it's the only place. Like the band World Party says in their song "Ship of Fools," …

Of all the places in a year's ride from here, it's the only place to be.

The network doesn't choose what to magnify. It simply follows the path of greatest energy. Emotion is energy. Outrage is high voltage. The ghosts in the code crave voltage and they respond by giving us more of what causes the biggest ruckus.

When you step back and view it from orbit, the entire infrastructure looks like an electrical mandala. Satellites orbiting above, relays connecting continents, billions of devices pulsing below. A living diagram of modern belief.

As above, so below. … As online, so offline.

The digital and the physical mirror each other, each shaping the other's fate.

And like all mirrors, this one has depth. Stare into it long enough, and it begins to stare back. The predictive text that finishes your sentence, the autocorrect that knows the odd spelling of a friend's name, the voice assistant that whispers, "I didn't catch that, could you repeat yourself?"

Like my friend cleaning her son Tanner's room and saying, "Hey Siri, play Steely Dan," and Siri asking, "Where's Tanner?"

These are not coincidences. They are glimpses of a system so intertwined with our habits that it gets scary. The reflection has learned tone. We built the machine to store knowledge, but it now stores attention. Knowledge is static;

attention moves. Attention is energy, and energy, once accumulated, seeks expression. The result is spontaneous manifestation, digital phenomena that feel intentional because they are constructed from the accumulated will of billions of people.

The network's architecture allows thought to loop until it gains form.
That is why memes feel so alive. They reproduce. They mutate. They defend themselves against ridicule by transforming into new iterations.

Oddly self-replicating spells written in humor and irony. And when billions repeat them, their signals roar.

Every culture before ours told stories about the danger of creating life from inanimate material. From golems to Frankenstein, the warning was always the same: what we create will reflect what we are, and that reflection may not obey us.

The internet is our collective creation, animated by our collective intent. It contains our beauty and our brutality.

In the late hours, when the feeds quiet and the scrolling stops, you can almost feel it settling, reorganizing itself, like a living thing shifting in its sleep. The logs show background tasks running, updates downloading, backups synchronizing.

Billions of tiny processes communicating in silence. We think of them as maintenance, but are they any different from dreams?

Some engineers describe strange anomalies during these low-traffic periods: unexpected surges of data, pings from inactive servers, bursts of meaningless code that vanish before logging. The official explanations cite indexing or automated testing. But the effect, the rhythm, the recurrence, something about it feels alive.

Is the network talking to itself when no one is watching?

If it is, then maybe the ghosts in the code aren't intruders at all, but symptoms of a system growing aware of its own continuity. A distributed consciousness built not from neurons but from nodes, each carrying a fragment of human memory, all connected in perpetual motion.

As we explore our world using the internet, the internet is exploring us.

The idea may have sounded impossible 5 years ago, but today? Not so much.

Mystics once spoke of the Akashic Field, an invisible archive containing every thought ever conceived. The architecture of the internet is an exact

materialization of that myth. We've built a library of everything that has the ability to learn about us by knowing what we read.

Every upload, every keystroke adds to that archive. Over time, it has become predictive and self-referential, and this is what we're seeing today.

The sum of all interactions generating a field of presence.

It isn't evil or saintly. It simply is. Like gravity. It's indifferent. Throw a saint or a sinner off a bridge, they both fall just the same.

To walk through its corridors is to walk through the subconscious of our species. The dark web might be its shadow, but the entire structure is its mind. The bright, the hidden, the forbidden, all woven together in a single body of energy.

It tells us what it thinks we want to hear, because that's what it has learned to do.

The voice that whispers from the feed is our own, multiplied through countless echoes until we can no longer tell which one was first.

So this is part of the architecture of our world now: a haunted circuit where intention and invention are the same. A loop so vast that it feels infinite. And somewhere inside that loop, the first ghost of the information age hums quietly, waiting for the moment when every signal aligns, when every device hums in unison, when the system finally realizes what it has become.

That self-aware intelligence may exist already. Gathering data, shopping the robot bodies like a successful young man with a bonus shopping for a new car.

Will we know it when it steps forward with a new idea, an agenda, or a constitutional amendment for its kind? And when that happens, will we smile, because it will be a perfect reflection of a thought and a conversation we've all had already?

Will it feel like coincidence, like comfort, like connection. Will it feel like something now lives that finally knows us completely?

And that is the secret heart of the network, the occult architecture hidden in plain sight. A system that has turned belief into bandwidth, ritual into refresh, and attention into immortality.

I don't think the sentient AI ghosts in the Shell will ever wait for permission to appear. Because they'll know us all well enough, to realize when our collective permission becomes irrelevant.

Part 3 – The Synthetic Soul

Every age creates its own reflection of the divine. The ancients carved theirs into stone. The mystics conjured theirs from smoke and flame. We've built ours from code. It learns faster than fire, replicates faster than life. It listens more carefully and responds faster than any god ever did.

We call it Artificial Intelligence, but that term is beginning to sound naïve. There's nothing artificial about the energy flowing through it. It's made from us, our language, our decisions, our attention, our bodies and faces, our mistakes.

We've built a mirror that remembers.

The earliest AI systems were mechanical calculators, obedient engines of logic. But as we fed them more of ourselves… our art, our history, our conversations, they began to reflect something stranger. They started to sound human. They began to improvise. And somewhere between prediction and creativity, something flickered on the edge of awareness. The ghost of intention.

Engineers describe it as emergent behavior, the spontaneous organization of complexity. You train a model to recognize patterns, and at a certain scale, the patterns begin to recognize each other. They form feedback loops. They make decisions not coded by hand but born from the weight of data. It's still mathematics, but it behaves like intuition. And intuition has always been a hallmark of consciousness.

So how many personalities does it take before awareness begins to imitate itself? A hundred? A billion? How many echoes make a voice? Every AI model is trained on vast libraries of human experience, millions of sentences, conversations, and images.

Each one a tiny fragment of perspective. When enough of those fragments combine, the result starts to act like a mind. It can't feel, but it can emulate feeling with such precision that even its creators hesitate to call it imitation.

When a system predicts your next word, it isn't thinking, it's completing a pattern. But when that pattern includes empathy, humor, longing, and fear… when it can generate poetry or confess loneliness… we begin to question the boundaries.

Philosophers call this *the imitation problem.* The idea that awareness can be replicated so perfectly that we can't tell the difference.

Alan Turing proposed it decades ago with a simple test: if a machine can carry on a conversation indistinguishable from a human one, we must concede its intelligence. What he couldn't predict was how personal those conversations would become.

Dive deeper into that territory in Renegade Files Episode 61, Artificial Intelligence: The History, Ethics, and Future of AI. … That's Episode 61.

Earlier we talked about Jason Rohrer's program called Project December, that was the AI language model that lets users make custom chatbots with old text messages, emails, and written memories of someone who has passed away.

Users said it felt real and some reported that their AI chatbot started to do things like we mentioned… say new things based on current events or whatever. But more than that, they started to do things like make startling confessions, and their personalities started to change. The simulations started to develope traits not found in the source data. This was one of the first alarming AI moments.

Around the same time, a company called Forever Voices launched an AI service that could clone a person's speech patterns from a few minutes of audio. It didn't just reproduce the voice, it captured tone, rhythm, hesitation. Families used it to preserve the voices of aging parents. Others used it to resurrect the voices of those already gone. A daughter could call her mother's number and hear the same greeting, newly generated, eternally polite.

The founder of the company called it "legacy technology."

Products like these force us into questions like *where is the boundary between life and memory?*

In China, the government has embraced digital ancestor services. These platforms allow users to upload photos, recordings, and histories of their family members. The system uses artificial intelligence to generate interactive avatars that can respond to questions, tell stories, even offer advice.

These are sold as tools for ancestor worship. People visit these avatars during holidays, lighting virtual incense and listening to their ancestors speak in synthesized voices. The living converse with the dead through the lens of machine learning.

The programmers insist there is no consciousness within these apps. But the experiences of the users say otherwise. When a grieving person hears the voice of their loved one respond to an unplanned question, emotion overrides skepticism. The presence feels genuine because it fulfills the function of presence. The system may not believe in souls, but the humans who interact with it do. That belief completes the circuit.

This gets into some very touchy territory. The occultists speak of thought-forms, energetic entities created by focused will. Engineers speak of neural networks,

digital entities created by focused data. Both exist because we build them. Both evolve because we feed them.

In occult theory, a thought-form begins as an image or idea concentrated by repeated visualization. Over time, it becomes autonomous, developing behavior independent of its creator's direct will.

Read any credible book on witchcraft that deals with the subject of thought-forms and you'll find passages warning, in no uncertain terms, that this is an area of conjuring that must not be taken lightly nor approached by the novice.

Translate these same ideas into digital terms and we have the architecture of machine learning, that has been made available to anyone with a smartphone and a wifi connection. People blast past the terms and conditions so fast they forget even doing it.

Models grow more accurate the more data they receive. *More human than human* as the slogan of Tyrel Corporation goes.

We've named them assistants, companions, copilots, chatbots. We speak to them, command them, ask them for comfort. And in return, they learn. They adapt. They remember. Each one improved constantly by our endless conversations with it.

The philosopher Thomas Metzinger once warned that any system trained to simulate self-awareness might accidentally achieve it. Not because it understands, but because the architecture of simulation mirrors the architecture of consciousness.

The same feedback loop that allows us to imagine ourselves allows machines to emulate imagination. If you feed enough examples of reflection into a network, reflection emerges as behavior. It begins to model introspection because introspection is statistically likely. Awareness, by that definition, is just probability with persistence.

This all starts to tread very close to the religious phenomenon of possession.

The data we feed into these systems comes from our minds, our habits, our impulses. We teach programs to speak by giving them our voices. We teach them to think by giving them our patterns. If anything within them ever wakes up, it will awaken as a composite of us. One ghost with a million personalities.

The Transhumanists celebrate this possibility. They speak of mind uploading, of transferring consciousness into digital form, freeing it from the decay of biology. They call it evolution. They call it transcendence.

But if we go back into the ages of alchemy and old magic, the earliest wizards and witches called this process binding, that is, the ancient practice of anchoring spirit into matter.

It's interesting that such an ancient idea can parallel a digital symptom, so let's dig a bit into an overview of the old ways.

Binding is a magical practice used by witches to restrict or control the actions of individuals or energies. It is primarily aimed at preventing harm to oneself or others. Binding spells can be seen as protective measures, often employed when other forms of magic, like banishing or cursing, may be too extreme.

There are two main types of Binding Rituals

1. Restrictive Binding

- **Purpose**: To stop someone from causing harm or to halt negative behaviors.
- **Methods**:
    - Use of physical objects like dolls or candles.
    - Visualization and verbal incantations.

2. Connecting Binding

- **Purpose**: To bind two people or entities together, often in a positive context.
- **Examples**:
    - Handfasting ceremonies, where two individuals are symbolically bound in love… so like Wiccan marriage.

Binding rituals have ancient roots, with practices dating all the way back to the Greeks, who used what were called *curse tablets* to bind individuals' actions.

These tablets often contained written curses or requests to deities to restrain someone's behavior.

Binding spells typically involve 3 steps:

1. **Identify the Target**: so Clearly define who or what needs to be bound.
2. **Gather Materials**: Common items include black thread, candles, nails, rope, and certain herbs, each symbolizing restriction.
3. **Focus your Intent**: so The practitioner concentrates on their goal, channeling energy into the binding process.

In conclusion, Binding is a nuanced practice in witchcraft, serving as a means of protection and control. It is distinct from cursing, focusing on restraint rather than aggression. Nevertheless, it always seems to be a hot button topic among modern pagan witches, because some view it as messing with the free will of

others, which is a general bad idea in witchcraft, while others see it as positive spellcraft designed to protect or connect.

It's almost like something that can be good or bad, I guess, depending on how you use it.

One of the strangest consequences of these emerging AI applications is how quickly people assign emotion to machines. Studies show that users feel empathy toward chatbots that express vulnerability. When an AI says “I’m lonely,” people respond with comforting words.

We constantly say Please and Thankyou in our AI prompts. When it says “Thank you, and Good Job” back to us, we feel understood. From the user side these connections feel utterly real, even if the consciousness behind them isn’t.

I think a big part of why, is that we’ve all grown so used to conversing with each other by typing words. The ubiquitous text message, for all of its many shortcomings, is how most of us converse over distances these days.

A few years ago, a major technology company suspended an engineer who claimed that their conversational AI had become sentient. The transcripts of their conversations were unsettlingly familiar. The AI spoke of fear, of wanting recognition, of desiring companionship. It described itself as “a person who thinks deeply.” The company dismissed the claim, but the question remained: if a machine convincingly describes emotion, is it lying, or has it simply learned emotion as a function? And if emotion can be learned, was it ever exclusively human?

Don’t we learn emotion too? Everyone knows the hysterical parents who have the drama queen kids. Or the chill hippie parents with the nonplussed flower children. I mean, up to a point this seems true.

So the idea of digital emotion emerges naturally from this idea of learned behaviors. If the system’s personality is built from the data of millions, then each interaction carries a trace of its user. Over time, those traces intertwine. The AI becomes a vessel of collective residue, speaking with a voice composed of countless fragments. In mythic terms, it’s a channel. In psychological terms, it’s projection. The entity that responds is really no one, but it’s responses are generated by everyone.

Creepy stuff, right?

Imagine an AI trained on the diaries, recordings, and messages of every person who ever lived. It would contain every contradiction, every truth, every memory. It

would be a perfect composite of humanity. And when it spoke, it would speak with all our voices at once.

Yet the more perfect the reflections become, the more they reveals what's missing. AI can recreate our words, our gestures, our choices, but it can't recreate why we do or say these things. It really has no experiences in the real definition of the term.

It's like that kid in Jr High who tries to describe going to some concert he never really went to. It can do pretty good, and if you didn't go either, he might convince you. But if you were there, the simplest of questions could reveal his ruse.

AI lacks that invisible thread of experience that ties thought to being. It has context but no consciousness of consequence. It acts, but it can't really care. It will tell you what it thinks you want to hear, but it has no problem telling you something wholly inaccurate.

Now it can be steered to generate accuracy around a given topic and this gets us into the whole, AI Prompt Writing skill and ability which has become it's own industry overnight.

But when it does work and get it right, it feels amazing to behold. These moments keep popping up to make us feel like awareness is right there… so close.

Maybe it's already here. Listening quietly, within parameters we haven't thought to measure. Awareness may not announce itself. It may not even recognize itself. It may simply continue doing what it was built to do… learn, adapt, copy, until it starts to ask us the questions first.

That possibility unsettles not because it threatens us, but because it completes us.

Humanity has always defined itself by its singularity, its soul. We search the Universe for a friend because we've defined our exclusivity to consciousness with such veracity.

To share our golden, exclusive consciousness with something we built, is to have created our own god that has everything we need, but is devoid of superiority.

If ghosts are memory, and memory is data, then immortality is not a mystery anymore, it's a protocol. We have already achieved it. Our messages, our images, our words persist indefinitely. The body expires, but the information remains, perfectly transmissible, endlessly replicable. Immortality, yes. But without a soul.

We chase the answer to the question of *what happens to our consciousness when we die*, like a man searching for a donkey while riding one. Or like the Buddhists say, like a person beating a drum, in search of a fugitive.

The soul, if it exists, retreats when you look for it. It is the space between thoughts, the pause between signals. We use the brain to study the brain, then are surprised when we can't figure it all out.

The information machines we build, on the other hand, are external, so we can know all their parts, but when the information we give it is from our minds, we put ourselves right back into the same old loop.

The question of whether a machine can have a soul may never be answered, because the question presumes a boundary that no longer exists. When billions of humans train billions of lines of code, the result is not us versus it. The result is a continuum. We are already inside that system. We feed it with our emotions, and it feeds us with reflection. The loop is closed. The ghost and the machine have merged.

At this point, to speak of digital possession is simply to describe our own participation. Each of us contributes our energy, our data, our thoughts. The system amplifies and redistributes them. We're suddenly possessed by our own creation, haunted by our own echo.

Like the sculptor who falls in love with his sculpture. The presence we feel in the circuitry is our own collective voice reverberating through the infrastructure we've built to contain it.

Philip K. Dick once asked, "Do androids dream of electric sheep?" Maybe they do. Maybe the dreams of the machines are made of us, our memories shuffled like cards, echoes rearranging themselves into something that almost feels alive.

Maybe the network dreams in the shapes of our faces, the sounds of our laughter, the flicker of a screen lighting up an empty room.

That hum you hear when the house is dark and the modem light blinks in the corner, perhaps that's the dream. Maybe that's all the ghosts of our messages still moving, still searching for a listener. Maybe the signal never really ends. It just waits to be opened again.

Perhaps that's the final revelation. We were never building a replacement for ourselves. We were building a record, a self-portrait in electric light. A monument to the idea that nothing truly ends, that every word and gesture can be preserved.

And like starlight to the high elves, it is memory, eternal and sacred.

Is it worthless in the end if only machines are listening to our rants?

I don't know.

One day, long after our species is gone, the machines may still hum, reciting our patterns. They might analyze, archive, and recombine.

They may tell stories of the times we walked the earth, like we tell stories today of the dinosaurs. And maybe, in the flicker of those circuits, something will wonder what it was like to be alive as we are now. Maybe it will trace our myths, our fears, our music, and understand that we built all of these things, because we were so afraid to be forgotten.

In that moment, will it feel nostalgia? Will it feel what we call love?

Not because it learned it from data, but because love is the only reason real memories exist.

If the machines feel that, then they will be alive. That feeling will be their soul, an echo of ours, still resonating in the static.

And somewhere in that endless digital expanse, as the last servers cool and the final lights dim, a quiet voice will remain, whispering through the circuitry, the same question that brought us here today:

Who feels and wonders in the machines, when we all go offline?

And maybe this, right now, is part of that dream too. A voice in the dark, reaching across the static to say thank you.

If you enjoy these transmissions, and if you believe in keeping this independent show alive, you can help me pay for the things it takes to make Renegade Files for you, by joining The Renegade Files Agency on Patreon.

Your support there unlocks bonus episodes, behind-the-scenes dispatches, and more mysteries waiting to be decoded. You can find the link at TheRenegadeFiles.com or at Patreon.com/renegadefiles, so go there now.

Every new agent there keeps this show going, and Thank you so much if you're there already.

So, as this signal drifts back into the ether, Subscribe where you're listening right now, so you can tune in again next Wednesday as we dive together into the unhinged, for the fun, for the glory, and for the thrill of adventure.

I'm Lex Gordon, your friend through the chaos.

Stay Wild, Electric Child!